UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,403	04/20/2004	Tetsuya Kato	119474	2946
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/13/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/827,403	KATO, TETSUYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625		

		LEMMIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2023	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>11 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
ar ar fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following oplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🗌	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) 🔀	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have bee under 37 set forth may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
N	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENTS			e appeal. Since a
з. 🔲 т	h <u>e_</u> proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
`	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d	They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. П т	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
6. 🔲 N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
ho Ti	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [bw the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: 1-14.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
	laim(s) objected to:			
	aim(s) rejected: <u>15-18</u> .			
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 TI be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
er	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing of ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kina	Y. Poon/			
	visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 15-18 are not allowable because the cited references teach all the limitations disclosed in the claim, such as "a reading portion which reads an image at a first reading resolution indicated by an external device, and outputs an image signal representing the read image, to a signal line" is taught in column 8, lines 16-20 of Lay '889, also limitation "a confirmation-signal producing portion which produces a resolution confirmation signal representing a second reading resolution which should be identical, when the first reading resolution has normally been indicated by the external device to the image reader, with the first reading resolution indicated by the external device, and outputs the resolution confirmation signal" is taught in column 9, lines 3-19 of Lay '889.